

# Strong torsion generators, braid groups, mapping class groups

A Jon Berrick

2EACAT, NUS, 18 December 2008

Key Definitions:

Group  $G$  is

*strongly  $n$ -torsion generated* if  $\exists x_n \in G$  with  
 $o(x_n) = n$  and  $\langle\langle x_n \rangle\rangle = G$ ,

## Key Definitions:

Group  $G$  is

*strongly  $n$ -torsion generated* if  $\exists x_n \in G$  with

$$o(x_n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\langle x_n \rangle\rangle = G,$$

*strongly torsion generated (stg)* if  $\forall n \geq 2$   $G$  is strongly  $n$ -torsion generated.

## Key Definitions:

Group  $G$  is

*strongly  $n$ -torsion generated* if  $\exists x_n \in G$  with

$$o(x_n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\langle x_n \rangle\rangle = G,$$

*strongly torsion generated (stg)* if  $\forall n \geq 2$   $G$  is strongly  $n$ -torsion generated.

**Examples** 1. Infinite simple group with torsion of all orders –  
e.g.  $A_\infty$  (stable alternating gp)

## Key Definitions:

Group  $G$  is

*strongly  $n$ -torsion generated* if  $\exists x_n \in G$  with

$$o(x_n) = n \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\langle x_n \rangle\rangle = G,$$

*strongly torsion generated (stg)* if  $\forall n \geq 2$   $G$  is strongly  $n$ -torsion generated.

- Examples**
1. Infinite simple group with torsion of all orders – e.g.  $A_\infty$  (stable alternating gp)
  2. Group normally gen'd by stg gp – e.g.  $E(R)$  (stable gp gen'd by eltry matrices)

## Why? Realization problems

Why? Realization problems

Where? Further “classical” stg groups

# 1. $\pi$ -realization

## 1. $\pi$ -realization

(a)  $\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(X), \pi_2(X), \dots$  Eilenberg-MacLane '43

## 1. $\pi$ -realization

(a)  $\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(X), \pi_2(X), \dots$  Eilenberg-MacLane '43

(b)  $\text{GP} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(BG^+), \pi_2(BG^+), \dots$  Kan-Thurston '75

## 1. $\pi$ -realization

(a)  $\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(X), \pi_2(X), \dots$  Eilenberg-MacLane '43

(b)  $\text{GP} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(BG^+), \pi_2(BG^+), \dots$  Kan-Thurston '75

## 2. $H$ -realization

## 1. $\pi$ -realization

(a)  $\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(X), \pi_2(X), \dots$  Eilenberg-MacLane '43

(b)  $\text{GP} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(BG^+), \pi_2(BG^+), \dots$  Kan-Thurston '75

## 2. $H$ -realization

(a)

$\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} H_1(X), H_2(X), \dots$  JC Moore '54

## 1. $\pi$ -realization

(a)  $\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(X), \pi_2(X), \dots$  Eilenberg-MacLane '43

(b)  $\text{GP} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \pi_1(BG^+), \pi_2(BG^+), \dots$  Kan-Thurston '75

## 2. $H$ -realization

(a)

$\text{TOP} \ni X \xrightarrow{\checkmark} H_1(X), H_2(X), \dots$  JC Moore '54

(b)

$\text{GP} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} H_1(G), H_2(G), \dots$  Baumslag-Dyer-Miller '83

# *H*-realization for groups

## $H$ -realization for groups

$G$  finite:  $|G| = m \Rightarrow m\tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  and for infinitely  
many  $n$   $H_n(G) \neq 0$ .

## $H$ -realization for groups

$G$  finite:  $|G| = m \Rightarrow m\tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  and for infinitely many  $n$   $H_n(G) \neq 0$ .

$G$  locally finite:  $H_{\gg}(G) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  Henn '90s

## $H$ -realization for groups

$G$  finite:  $|G| = m \Rightarrow m\tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  and for infinitely many  $n$   $H_n(G) \neq 0$ .

$G$  locally finite:  $H_{\gg}(G) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  Henn '90s

$G$  poly- $(\mathbb{Z}$  or locally finite):

$|\tilde{H}_*(G)| < \infty \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  AJB-Kropholler '01

## $H$ -realization for groups

$G$  finite:  $|G| = m \Rightarrow m\tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  and for infinitely many  $n$   $H_n(G) \neq 0$ .

$G$  locally finite:  $H_{\gg}(G) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  Henn '90s

$G$  poly- $(\mathbb{Z}$  or locally finite):

$|\tilde{H}_*(G)| < \infty \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  AJB-Kropholler '01

So, consider  $G$  torsion generated.

## $H$ -realization for groups

$G$  finite:  $|G| = m \Rightarrow m\tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  and for infinitely many  $n$   $H_n(G) \neq 0$ .

$G$  locally finite:  $H_{\gg}(G) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  Henn '90s

$G$  poly- $(\mathbb{Z}$  or locally finite):

$|\tilde{H}_*(G)| < \infty \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(G) = 0$  AJB-Kropholler '01

So, consider  $G$  torsion generated.

$G \in \text{STG} \Rightarrow H_1(G) = 0$

Using algebraic  $K$ -theory:  $\text{STG} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \mathcal{Z}(G)$      AJB '91

Using algebraic  $K$ -theory:  $\text{STG} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \mathcal{Z}(G)$      AJB '91

Using combinatorial group theory:

$\text{STG} \ni G \xrightarrow{\checkmark} H_1(G) = 0, H_2(G), \dots$      AJB-Miller '92

AJB–Matthey ('07,08) use  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -algebras, algebraic and topological  $K$ -theory to obtain:

AJB–Matthey ('07,08) use  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -algebras, algebraic and topological  $K$ -theory to obtain:

## Theorem

Let  $A, A_2, \dots, A_5$  be any five abelian groups. Then, there exists  $G \in \text{STG}$  such that:

- (i)  $\mathcal{Z}(G) \cong A$ ;
- (ii)  $H_1(G) = 0$  ( $\because$  stg)
- (iii) For  $i = 2, \dots, 5$ ,  $H_i(G) \cong A_i$
- (iv) For infinitely many  $n$ ,  $H_n(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \diamond$ .

## Where? Further “classical” stg groups

AJB-Matthey Comm Math Helv, to appear

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \Gamma_{g,1} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \Gamma_{g,1} & & \\
 & \nearrow \psi_g & & & & \searrow & \\
 \text{Br}_g & \twoheadrightarrow & \Sigma_g & \twoheadrightarrow & O_g(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{H} & \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \\
 \text{Artin} \searrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Aut}(\text{Fr}_g) & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{GL}_g(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{H} & \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

**Form ring  $(R, \Lambda)$ .**

**Form ring**  $(R, \Lambda)$ .

Theorem

$$EU(R, \Lambda) = [U(R, \Lambda), U(R, \Lambda)] \in \text{STG},$$

e.g.  $\text{Sp}(\mathbb{Z}), [\text{O}(\mathbb{Z}), \text{O}(\mathbb{Z})] \in \text{STG}$ .

## Automorphism groups of free groups.

## Automorphism groups of free groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{SAut}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SOut}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Aut}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Out}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

## Automorphism groups of free groups.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{SAut}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SOut}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Aut}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Out}(F_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

## Theorem

$$\mathrm{SAut}(F_\infty), \mathrm{SOut}(F_\infty) \in \mathrm{STG}.$$

# Braid groups.

## Braid groups.

$B_n(S)$  is torsion-free except surface  $S = S^2$  or  $P^2$ .

## Braid groups.

$B_n(S)$  is torsion-free except surface  $S = S^2$  or  $P^2$ .

Do  $B_n(S^2), B_n(P^2)$  stabilize?

# The sphere

## The sphere

### Theorem

*For odd  $n$ ,  $B_n(S^2)$  is strongly  $2(n - 1)$ -torsion generated.*

## The sphere

### Theorem

*For odd  $n$ ,  $B_n(S^2)$  is strongly  $2(n - 1)$ -torsion generated.*

### Corollary

*If  $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ , and also  $m \equiv \pm 2$  or  $\pm 3 \pmod{k}$ , then any homomorphism  $B_{k+1}(S^2) \rightarrow B_{k+1+m}(S^2)$  has image in  $\mathcal{Z}(B_{k+1+m}(S^2)) \cong C_2$ .*

# The projective plane

## The projective plane

### Proposition

For  $n \geq 3$ , the only nontrivial homomorphism from  $B_n(P^2)$  to  $B_m(P^2)$  is

$$B_n(P^2) \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_n \twoheadrightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Z}(B_m(P^2)) \hookrightarrow B_m(P^2),$$

when  $\gcd(n', m) = \gcd(n', m - 1) = 1$  ( $n' := \max \text{ odd } \leq n$ ).

## The projective plane

### Proposition

For  $n \geq 3$ , the only nontrivial homomorphism from  $B_n(P^2)$  to  $B_m(P^2)$  is

$$B_n(P^2) \twoheadrightarrow \Sigma_n \twoheadrightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Z}(B_m(P^2)) \hookrightarrow B_m(P^2),$$

when  $\gcd(n', m) = \gcd(n', m - 1) = 1$  ( $n' := \max \text{ odd } \leq n$ ).

### Corollary

The commutator subgroup of  $B_n(P^2)$  is the intersection of:  
the lower central series ( $n \geq 3$ );  
the derived series ( $n \geq 5$ ).

# Mapping class groups.

**Mapping class groups.** Recall genus  $g$  surface with  $r > 0$  boundary components has mcg *stabilizing*:

$\exists$  highly nontrivial  $\Gamma_{g,r} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,r}$ .  
(e.g. preserves homology).

**Mapping class groups.** Recall genus  $g$  surface with  $r > 0$  boundary components has mcg *stabilizing*:

$\exists$  highly nontrivial  $\Gamma_{g,r} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,r}$ .

(e.g. preserves homology).

But, for  $r > 0$   $\Gamma_{g,r}$  is torsion-free.

**Mapping class groups.** Recall genus  $g$  surface with  $r > 0$  boundary components has mcg *stabilizing*:

$\exists$  highly nontrivial  $\Gamma_{g,r} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,r}$ .

(e.g. preserves homology).

But, for  $r > 0$   $\Gamma_{g,r}$  is torsion-free.

$r = 0$  :  $\Gamma_g$  contains torsion – does it stabilize?

**Mapping class groups.** Recall genus  $g$  surface with  $r > 0$  boundary components has mcg *stabilizing*:

$\exists$  highly nontrivial  $\Gamma_{g,r} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,r}$ .

(e.g. preserves homology).

But, for  $r > 0$   $\Gamma_{g,r}$  is torsion-free.

$r = 0$  :  $\Gamma_g$  contains torsion – does it stabilize?

Other extreme: *rigidity*.

Harvey & Korkmaz 2005: *For  $m < 0$  there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .*

**Mapping class groups.** Recall genus  $g$  surface with  $r > 0$  boundary components has mcg *stabilizing*:

$\exists$  highly nontrivial  $\Gamma_{g,r} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{g+1,r}$ .

(e.g. preserves homology).

But, for  $r > 0$   $\Gamma_{g,r}$  is torsion-free.

$r = 0$  :  $\Gamma_g$  contains torsion – does it stabilize?

Other extreme: *rigidity*.

Harvey & Korkmaz 2005: *For  $m < 0$  there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .*

For  $m > 0$ ?

## Theorem

(a) *If both  $g \geq 3$  and  $d$  divides  $g$  or  $g - 1$  or  $4g + 2$ , then  $\Gamma_g$  is strongly  $d$ -torsion generated.*

## Theorem

(a) If both  $g \geq 3$  and  $d$  divides  $g$  or  $g - 1$  or  $4g + 2$ , then  $\Gamma_g$  is strongly  $d$ -torsion generated.

(b) Conversely, if  $\Gamma_g$  is strongly  $d$ -torsion generated, then both  $g \geq 3$  and  $d \leq 4g + 2$ , and

$$\text{prime } p|d \implies -\frac{2}{k} \leq p - \frac{2g}{k} \leq 1$$

for some integer  $k$ .

## Theorem

For  $g \geq 3$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and:

- (i)  $g - 1 = pu$  with prime  $p > 2(m + u + 2)$ ;
- (ii)  $g = pv$  with prime  $p > 2(m + v + 1)$ , and  $m \geq 2$ ; or
- (iii)  $2g + 1 = pw$  with prime  $p > m + w + 1$ ,

then there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .

## Theorem

For  $g \geq 3$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and:

- (i)  $g - 1 = pu$  with prime  $p > 2(m + u + 2)$ ;
- (ii)  $g = pv$  with prime  $p > 2(m + v + 1)$ , and  $m \geq 2$ ; or
- (iii)  $2g + 1 = pw$  with prime  $p > m + w + 1$ ,

then there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .

Fix  $m > 0$ .

## Theorem

For  $g \geq 3$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , and:

- (i)  $g - 1 = pu$  with prime  $p > 2(m + u + 2)$ ;
- (ii)  $g = pv$  with prime  $p > 2(m + v + 1)$ , and  $m \geq 2$ ; or
- (iii)  $2g + 1 = pw$  with prime  $p > m + w + 1$ ,

then there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .

Fix  $m > 0$ .

## Rigidity Conjecture

For all sufficiently large  $g$ , there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .

Consider  $P(g, m)$ :  $\exists$  prime  $p|g(g-1)(2g+1)$  with  
$$p - \sqrt{2g} > 2m + 2$$

## Corollary

*Then  $P(g, m) \Rightarrow$  rigidity,  
i.e. if  $P(g, m)$  holds (and  $m \geq 2$  if  $p|g$ ),  
then there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .*

Consider  $P(g, m)$ :  $\exists$  prime  $p|g(g-1)(2g+1)$  with  
$$p - \sqrt{2g} > 2m + 2$$

## Corollary

*Then  $P(g, m) \Rightarrow$  rigidity,  
i.e. if  $P(g, m)$  holds (and  $m \geq 2$  if  $p|g$ ),  
then there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .*

## Number Theory Conjecture

*For each  $m > 0$ ,  $P(g, m)$  holds for  $> 97\%$  of  $g$ .*

Consider  $P(g, m)$ :  $\exists$  prime  $p|g(g-1)(2g+1)$  with  
$$p - \sqrt{2g} > 2m + 2$$

## Corollary

*Then  $P(g, m) \Rightarrow$  rigidity,  
i.e. if  $P(g, m)$  holds (and  $m \geq 2$  if  $p|g$ ),  
then there is only the trivial homomorphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_{g+m}$ .*

## Number Theory Conjecture

*For each  $m > 0$ ,  $P(g, m)$  holds for  $> 97\%$  of  $g$ .*

## Proposition

$\exists$  infinitely many  $g$  for which  $P(g, m)$  does not hold.



## Proposition

For any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set

$$\{g \in \mathbb{N} : p|g(g-1)(2g+1) \implies p - \sqrt{2g} < k\}$$

is infinite.

$$\text{Pf. } L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M := 5I + 2L, \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} := M^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad r \geq 0.$$

$$\mathbf{Pf.} \quad L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M := 5I + 2L, \quad \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} := M^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad r \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2v_r \\ 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} = LM^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r L \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Pf.**  $L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $M := 5I + 2L$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} := M^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $r \geq 0$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2v_r \\ 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} = LM^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r L \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_r & 2v_r \\ v_r & 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\text{Pf. } L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M := 5I + 2L, \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} := M^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad r \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2v_r \\ 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} = LM^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r L \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_r & 2v_r \\ v_r & 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{prime } p &| v_r^2(v_r^2 - 1)(2v_r^2 + 1) = v_r^2(v_r - 1)(v_r + 1)3u_r^2 \\ \implies p &\leq v_r + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Pf. } L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, M := 5I + 2L, \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} := M^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad r \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2v_r \\ 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = L \begin{bmatrix} u_r \\ v_r \end{bmatrix} = LM^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r L \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = M^r \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_r & 2v_r \\ v_r & 3u_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\therefore \text{prime } p | v_r^2(v_r^2 - 1)(2v_r^2 + 1) = v_r^2(v_r - 1)(v_r + 1)3u_r^2$$

$$\implies p \leq v_r + 1$$

$$\implies p - \sqrt{2v_r^2} \leq 1 + (1 - \sqrt{2})v_r \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$